

Mallard Pass Solar Farm

Environmental Statement Volume 2 Appendix 8.3: Cultural Heritage - Consultation Summary

November 2022

PINS Ref: EN010127

Document Ref: EN010127/APP/6.2

Revision PO

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations

2009 - Reg 5 (2) (a)



Appendix 8.3 Consultation Record and Reponses Relevant to Cultural Heritage

- 1.1.1. This Appendix presents a summary of all consultation undertaken to date with stakeholders for the Proposed Development.
- 1.1.2. Matters raised during consultation in relation to cultural heritage, as well as how and where matters have been addressed within the EIA and ES is outlined in Table 1.



Table 1 Key Matters Raised during Consultation in Relation to Cultural Heritage

| Consultee | Date of Consultation | Main Matter Raised | Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--|
| Ms Chloe Cronogue-Freeman, Leicestershire County Council acting on behalf of Rutland Council Ms Denise Drury, Heritage Lincolnshire, acting on behalf od South Kesteven District Council | 18-11-2021 (video call meeting) | Proposed scope of the desk-based assessment, key sources of information, proposed scope / extent / timings of the geophysical survey. In regard to the specifics of the desk-based assessment references were made to the need for a review of the historic environment record, lidar analysis, historic map regression, review of data from the Portable Antiquities Scheme. | Addressed within Section 8.2 in Chapter 8: Cultural Heritage [EN010127/APP/6.1] of the ES and in greater detail in Appendix 8.4: Desk Based Assessment [EN010127/APP/6.2] |



| Consultee | Date of Consultation | Main Matter Raised | Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES |
|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| Ms Jan Allen and Mr Matthew Adams, Lincolnshire County Council | | | |
| Lincolnshire County Council | 4 th March 2022 | EIA Scoping Response: concerns raised regarding the 'scoping out' of cultural heritage (buried archaeology and built heritage). Specific comments on the scope of the assessment. | Buried archaeology and built heritage now scoped in and the assessment is presented in Chapter 8: Cultural Heritage. |
| | | Written response to the PEIR: confirming the requirements for the detailed assessment and | Addressed within Section 8.4 in Chapter 8: Cultural Heritage of the ES and in greater detail in |



| Consultee | Date of Consultation | Main Matter Raised | Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES |
|-----------|----------------------|--|---|
| | | submission, reaffirming the details identified | Appendix 8.4: Desk Based |
| | | above during the initial consultation. | Assessment. |
| | Consultations had | The required scope and quantum of | The Applicant considers that its |
| | during September | archaeological trial trenching. | approach to this matter is robust |
| | and October 2022 | | and sufficient for the purposes of |
| | | | the ES. In the interim results of |
| | | | the work are reported in |
| | | | Appendix 8.6: Trial Trenching |
| | | | Report of the ES. |
| | | | A Written Scheme of |
| | | | Investigation (method statement) |
| | | | for the work was submitted to and |
| | | | approved by LCC; |
| | | | notwithstanding their maintained |



| Consultee | Date of Consultation | Main Matter Raised | Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | position that additional trenching would be required |
| Rutland County Council | 7 th March 2022 | Written response to the EIA Scoping Response: concerns raised regarding the 'scoping out' of cultural heritage (buried archaeology). | Buried archaeology and built heritage now scoped in and the assessment is presented in Chapter 8: Cultural Heritage. |
| | | Written response to the PEIR: no issues raised. | N/A |
| | Late August and Early September 2022 | Telephone and email correspondence on the matter of archaeological trial trenching (with Mr | Reported within <i>Appendix 8.6: Trial Trenching Report</i> of the ES. |



| Consultee | Date of Consultation | Main Matter Raised | Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| | | Richard Clarke of Leicestershire County Council, advising RCC). | Trial trenching completed within Rutland (see <i>Appendix 8.6: Trial Trenching Report</i> of the ES for details). |
| South Kesteven District Council | 7 th March 2022 | Written response to the EIA Scoping: concerns raised regarding the 'scoping out' of cultural heritage (buried archaeology). | Buried archaeology and built heritage now scoped in and the assessment is presented in Chapter 8: Cultural Heritage. |
| | | Written response to the PEIR: no issues raised. | N/A |
| Historic England (Mr Tim Allen) | 24 th November 2021 | Written response to Stage 1 consultation: general points raised with no specific issues. | N/A |



| Consultee | Date of Consultation | Main Matter Raised | Where is the Matter Addressed in the ES |
|-----------|------------------------------|---|--|
| | 7 th March 2022 | Written response on the EIA Scoping: general points raised welcoming the assessment approach taken to date and the design regarding 'setting issues'. | N/A |
| | 28 th July 2022 | Written response to the PEIR: general comments regarding the scope of the assessment and potential mitigation | N/A |
| | October and November 2022 | Email, video call and site visit to discuss the scope of the assessment undertaken and to engage on the matter of the scope and quantum of the trial trenching. | Reported within <i>Appendix 8.6: Trial Trenching Report</i> of the ES. |

